INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES (IJOSMAS)

Volume: 7 No. 3 (2026) https://www.ijosmas.org e-ISSN: 2775-0809

The Transformation of Knowledge Work in The Age of Generative AI: Evidence from Organizations in Banten, Indonesia

Sri Lestari[1*], Agus Santhuso[2], Etty Susilowati[3]
[1]Universitas Insan Pembangunan Indonesia, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Islam Assyafiiyah, Indonesia
[3]Universitas Pertanian Bogor, Indonesia
*Corresponding Author: srilestariunipi@gmail.com

Abstract - Background*: The rapid adoption of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) technologies including large language models (LLMs) and AI-enabled knowledge systems presents a fundamental transformation of knowledge work in contemporary organizations. Little research has explored how these technologies reshape the nature of knowledge creation, organizational learning processes, and professional capabilities in emerging economy contexts.* ***Research Objective****: To develop an integrated understanding of how Generative AI transforms knowledge work within organizations operating in Banten Province, Indonesia.* ***Research Method****: This qualitative descriptive study employed multi-case inquiry involving 20 participants across 5 organizations, utilizing semi-structured interviews, organizational document analysis, and observation protocols. Thematic analysis integrated knowledge-based view, dynamic capability theory, and organizational learning frameworks.* ***Participants****: Senior managers, HR professionals, knowledge workers, digital transformation leaders, and AI implementation specialists from diverse sectors.* ***Main Findings****: GenAI transforms knowledge work through four primary mechanisms: (1) augmentation of cognitive labor, shifting employees toward higher-order thinking and judgment; (2) reorganization of knowledge creation processes enabling rapid synthesis and pattern recognition; (3) evolution of organizational learning from episodic to continuous adaptive learning; (4) emergence of new workforce competencies centered on human-AI collaboration, critical evaluation, and adaptive expertise.* ***Novelty****: This research adopts a knowledge work transformation lens rather than focusing on technological adoption or productivity metrics, grounded in human-AI collaboration theory, and situated within an emerging economy context.* ***Practical Implications****: Organizations must strategically redesign work processes, invest in adaptive workforce development, and create governance frameworks balancing efficiency gains with meaningful human contribution.*

Keywords*: Adaptive learning, generative AI, human-AI collaboration, knowledge work, organizational transformation*

I. INTRODUCTION

The Rise of Generative AI

The technological landscape has undergone unprecedented transformation through advances in artificial intelligence. Whereas earlier AI systems operated within narrow, specialized domains, contemporary generative artificial intelligence represents a qualitative leap in machine capabilities (Brown et al., 2024). Large language models such as GPT-4, utilizing transformer architectures trained on vast textual repositories, now demonstrate remarkable facility in natural language comprehension and generation (Raiaan et al., 2024). These GenAI systems have transitioned from research laboratories to organizational deployment, with adoption accelerating dramatically following the public release of ChatGPT in November 2022 (Kaczorowska-Spychalska et al., 2024). The technology's accessibility—requiring only natural language prompts rather than specialized programming knowledge—has democratized AI application across business functions and professional roles (Dwivedi et al., 2023).

GenAI-enabled knowledge systems now support diverse organizational activities spanning content creation, information synthesis, decision support, and complex problem-solving (Yun et al., 2025). This technological evolution has generated substantial excitement regarding productivity enhancement and operational innovation. Simultaneously, it has sparked anxiety concerning workforce displacement, the future of expertise, and the appropriate boundaries between human and machine cognition in professional contexts (Lee et al., 2025). The technology's transformative potential extends beyond incremental efficiency gains to fundamentally reshape the nature of knowledge work itself—how professionals create meaning, develop expertise, and contribute value within their organizations.

Knowledge Work in the Digital Economy

Knowledge work occupies an increasingly central position within the global economy. Professionals engaged in knowledge-intensive tasks—research, analysis, strategic planning, creative development, complex decision-making—constitute the growing core of advanced economies' workforces (Morandini et al., 2023). Knowledge workers create value through intellectual labor, leveraging expertise, cognitive capability, and accumulated understanding to address complex organizational challenges. The knowledge economy depends fundamentally on human capacities for synthesis, innovation, and contextual judgment—capabilities that appeared uniquely resistant to automation.

Knowledge creation within organizations occurs through complex social and cognitive processes. Individuals internalize information, construct meaning through interpretation and experience, and externalize understanding through communication and documented practices. Organizations build competitive advantage by cultivating communities of practice, establishing knowledge management systems, and institutionalizing learning processes that preserve and transmit professional expertise across time and organizational boundaries (Hanelt et al., 2020). The quality of organizational knowledge—its relevance, accuracy, timeliness, and actionability—critically influences strategic decision-making and operational effectiveness.

Organizational Transformation and Digital Dynamics

Organizations worldwide undergo digital transformation as they adopt and integrate emerging technologies across business operations and strategic orientation. Digital transformation extends beyond technology implementation; it represents fundamental reorganization of organizational structures, processes, cultures, and business models to leverage digital capabilities for value creation (Hanelt et al., 2020). This transformation creates pressure for workforce adaptation, requiring employees to develop new competencies while unlearning established practices that may no longer align with transformed operational requirements.

The human dimensions of digital transformation present as consequential as technological considerations. Successful transformation depends on employee technology adoption, worker resilience and adaptability, team collaboration with digital systems, and leadership capacity to guide organizational change (Trenerry et al., 2021). Organizations must simultaneously manage technological disruption and maintain workforce wellbeing, developing human capabilities aligned with transformed work requirements. The transition to digital-intensive operations raises fundamental questions about workforce identity, professional meaning, and the future of human contribution in increasingly technology-mediated work environments (Mirbabaie et al., 2021).

Indonesian Context and Emerging Economy Considerations

Indonesia's economy is experiencing rapid digital transformation across manufacturing, services, and knowledge-intensive sectors (Asbari, 2020; Razanah et al., 2022; Silitonga et al., 2020; Supraptiningsih et al., 2026). Banten Province, encompassing major industrial zones and knowledge economy hubs surrounding Jakarta, represents a strategic location for examining GenAI adoption within an emerging economy context (Asbari, 2025; Nofiyanti et al., 2025). Organizations across Banten's diverse economic base—from manufacturing and logistics to financial services and technology—are actively integrating GenAI systems into operational and strategic functions.

The Indonesian context presents distinctive characteristics relevant to GenAI adoption. Organizations operate within emerging market conditions characterized by rapid technological change, variable digital infrastructure maturity, workforce educational diversity, and strong emphasis on cost efficiency and productivity enhancement. Many Indonesian organizations leapfrogged earlier technological stages, moving directly to GenAI adoption without extensive experience with conventional AI systems. This compression of technological adoption timelines creates unique challenges for workforce development and organizational learning.

State of Current Research

Recent scholarship documents GenAI's broad organizational implications, addressing productivity effects (Lee et al., 2025), human-AI collaboration dynamics (Spitzer et al., 2024), workforce skill requirements (Morandini et al., 2023), and organizational decision-making transformation (Bankins et al., 2023). Research emerging from multiple disciplines examines generative AI's potential to reshape business functions including human resource management (Budhwar et al., 2023), knowledge management (Kaczorowska-Spychalska et al., 2024), and strategic planning (Brown et al., 2024). Studies increasingly recognize that GenAI's impact extends beyond automation to fundamentally reconceptualize how humans and machines collaborate in value creation (Kolbjørnsrud, 2023).

However, significant research gaps persist. Current literature predominantly emphasizes technological adoption, productivity metrics, or general AI acceptance. Limited research explores the fundamental transformation of knowledge work itself—how GenAI reshapes the nature of professional expertise, the structure of cognitive labor, and organizational learning mechanisms. Fewer studies examine these phenomena within emerging economy contexts where resource constraints, infrastructure variability, and institutional conditions differ substantially from advanced economy settings where much extant research originates. Research is particularly scarce regarding how organizations in developing regions navigate GenAI integration while building sustainable capabilities among geographically diverse, educationally heterogeneous workforces.

Research Gap and Justification

This research addresses critical gaps at the intersection of generative AI adoption, knowledge work transformation, and emerging economy contexts. Current understanding inadequately explains: (1) how GenAI fundamentally alters the nature and structure of knowledge work within organizations; (2) what new organizational learning mechanisms emerge as GenAI becomes embedded in professional practice; (3) which workforce capabilities become essential for human-AI collaboration and professional effectiveness; (4) how organizations in emerging economies develop distinctive approaches to GenAI integration that reflect contextual conditions and resource constraints.

The research gap reflects broader theoretical underdevelopment. Existing frameworks emphasizing automation-augmentation dynamics, human-AI complementarity, or technology acceptance illuminate important dimensions but insufficiently capture the profound reorganization of professional expertise, cognitive labor, and organizational knowledge that GenAI enables. A more comprehensive understanding requires theoretical integration drawing from knowledge-based view, dynamic capability theory, organizational learning frameworks, and human-AI collaboration theory to examine how GenAI transforms knowledge work at multiple organizational levels.

Research Objective

This research pursues a single, focused objective: **To develop an integrated understanding of how Generative AI transforms knowledge work within organizations.** This objective encompasses four interconnected dimensions: (1) how organizations adopt and implement GenAI within knowledge-intensive functions; (2) how professional expertise, cognitive labor, and knowledge creation processes reorganize in response to GenAI integration; (3) how organizational learning mechanisms evolve as GenAI becomes embedded in decision-making and knowledge management systems; (4) what workforce capabilities emerge as critical for effectiveness in human-AI collaborative work environments.

Novelty Statement

This research makes distinctive theoretical and practical contributions:

Theoretical Novelty: The study adopts a knowledge work transformation perspective, examining how GenAI fundamentally reshapes the nature of professional expertise and cognitive labor rather than merely measuring productivity effects or adoption rates. It grounds analysis in human-AI collaboration theory integrated with dynamic capability and organizational learning frameworks, providing comprehensive understanding of mechanisms through which GenAI reorganizes professional practice. **Contextual Novelty:** By situating research in Banten Province organizations, the study examines knowledge work transformation within an emerging economy context where technological adoption, institutional arrangements, and workforce characteristics differ substantially from advanced economy settings where most extant research originates. **Organizational Learning Dimension:** The research explicitly examines how organizational learning mechanisms—including knowledge acquisition, assimilation, transformation, and exploitation processes—evolve as GenAI becomes embedded in decision-making systems and knowledge management practices.

II. METHOD

Research Design

This study employed qualitative descriptive research design utilizing multi-case inquiry approach. Qualitative description provides particularly appropriate methodology for examining complex organizational phenomena in naturalistic settings, capturing detailed understanding of knowledge work transformation as experienced by organizational participants. Multi-case design enabled cross-case comparison and pattern identification across diverse organizational contexts within Banten Province.

Research Participants and Sampling

Purposive sampling guided participant selection to ensure diverse perspectives on GenAI adoption and knowledge work transformation. The research engaged 20 participants across 5 organizations spanning multiple economic sectors including manufacturing, financial services, technology services, professional services, and digital commerce. Participants held diverse organizational roles reflecting different positions within knowledge work hierarchies and GenAI adoption processes: (1) **Senior Managers and Leaders** (5 participants): Strategic decision-makers providing organizational-level perspective on GenAI integration, competitive positioning, and workforce implications; (2) **Human Resource Managers and Development Specialists** (4 participants): Organizational professionals responsible for workforce strategy, capability development, and managing human dimensions of digital transformation; (3) **Knowledge Workers** (6 participants): Professional employees directly utilizing GenAI systems in daily work, representing roles including business analysis, content development, research, and strategic planning; (4) **Digital Transformation Leaders and AI Implementation Specialists** (3 participants): Technical and strategic professionals overseeing GenAI deployment, system integration, and governance frameworks; (5) **Organizational Learning and Knowledge Management Specialists** (2 participants): Professionals managing organizational knowledge systems and learning infrastructure

Data Collection Methods

The research employed multiple data collection methods enabling triangulation across sources and methodologies: (1) **Semi-Structured Interviews:** Individual interviews with 20 participants (average duration 60-90 minutes) explored: GenAI adoption experiences, changes in work activities and professional practices, human-AI collaboration dynamics, organizational learning transformation, emerging workforce competencies, and leadership perspectives on future knowledge work. Interview guides structured inquiry around core research questions while enabling responsive exploration of emergent themes. (2) **Organizational Document Analysis:** Research examined organizational documents including: AI strategy statements and implementation roadmaps; digital transformation strategy documents; workforce capability development initiatives; AI governance frameworks and usage policies; learning and development program descriptions; organizational restructuring announcements; innovation strategy documentation. Document analysis provided organizational context and triangulation with interview data. (3) **Observation Notes:** The researcher conducted observational visits to work environments, meetings, and GenAI application contexts within participating organizations, recording detailed observations regarding: human-AI interaction patterns; knowledge sharing behaviors; decision-making processes; technology utilization practices; workforce interactions and communication dynamics; physical and digital workspace configurations. Observation occurred over approximately 3-4 days per organization. (4) **Internal Policy and AI Implementation Documents:** Research accessed implementation guidelines, usage policies, training materials, and internal communications regarding GenAI adoption, providing data on organizational intentions, constraints, and values shaping implementation approaches.

Interview Protocol

The research employed a comprehensive interview protocol addressing five thematic areas: **A. AI Adoption and Work Transformation** 1. How is Generative AI currently being used in your organization? 2. What types of work activities have changed most significantly since GenAI adoption? 3. Which knowledge-intensive tasks are now supported by AI? **B. Knowledge Work Transformation** 4. How has GenAI changed the way employees create, process, and share knowledge? 5. What new competencies are becoming important for employees? 6. Which traditional competencies are becoming less important? **C. Human-AI Collaboration Dynamics** 7. How do employees collaborate with AI systems in daily work? 8. What benefits have emerged from human-AI collaboration? 9. What risks or challenges have emerged from collaboration with GenAI? **D. Organizational Learning and Decision-Making** 10. How has GenAI affected organizational learning processes? 11. Has AI changed how knowledge is transferred within the organization? 12. How has AI influenced decision-making quality and speed? **E. Strategic Implications for Future Knowledge Work** 13. What leadership capabilities are needed in the age of GenAI? 14. How should organizations prepare future knowledge workers? 15. What is the future trajectory of knowledge work in your organization?

Document Analysis Instrument

Document analysis systematically examined organizational materials across thematic categories: (1) **AI Strategy and Implementation:** Statements of AI adoption intentions, technology selection rationale, implementation timelines; (2) **Digital Transformation Strategy:** Broader organizational technology strategy contextualizing GenAI adoption; (3) **Knowledge Management Practices:** Approaches to capturing, organizing, sharing, and leveraging organizational knowledge; (4) **Learning and Development Initiatives:** Workforce capability development programs, training approaches, competency frameworks; (5) **Organizational Restructuring:** Changes to organizational structures, role definitions, reporting relationships emerging from AI adoption; (6) **Innovation and Strategic Initiatives:** Organizational innovation strategies and how GenAI supports strategic objectives; (7) **Governance and Policy Frameworks:** Rules, ethical guidelines, and governance structures for AI usage

Observation Protocol

Observational fieldwork recorded detailed descriptions of: (1) **Human-AI Interaction Patterns:** How employees accessed, utilized, and responded to GenAI systems; frequency and context of AI tool usage; (2) **Decision-Making Processes:** How AI outputs informed, modified, or were integrated into professional decision-making; (3) **Knowledge Sharing Behaviors:** Communication patterns regarding GenAI usage, knowledge synthesis, information dissemination; (4) **Collaborative Work Dynamics:** Team interactions, communication regarding AI-supported tasks, coordination mechanisms; (5) **Learning Practices:** Knowledge acquisition activities, training engagement, peer learning, experimentation with AI capabilities; (6) **Technology Utilization:** Physical interaction with systems, interface characteristics, integration with existing technologies and workflows.

Triangulation Protocol

The research employed systematic triangulation across three dimensions: (1) **Source Triangulation:** Compared perspectives across organizational roles and hierarchical levels—managers, HR professionals, knowledge workers, digital specialists, learning managers—enabling identification of convergent findings and role-specific differences. (2) **Method Triangulation:** Integrated data from interviews, document analysis, and observational fieldwork, enabling cross-validation of themes and identification of patterns consistent across data collection methods. (3) **Theory Triangulation:** Interpreted findings through multiple theoretical lenses—Knowledge-Based View emphasizing how organizations create and leverage distinctive knowledge; Dynamic Capability Theory examining how organizations develop and deploy emerging capability sets; Organizational Learning Theory focusing on organizational knowledge acquisition and transformation; Human-AI Collaboration Theory addressing dynamics of human-machine complementarity; Socio-Technical Systems Theory examining interactions between social and technical system components.

Data Analysis

The research employed thematic analysis following six iterative stages: (1) **Familiarization:** Immersive engagement with data through repeated interview listening, interview transcript reading, document review, and observation notes examination, establishing comprehensive data familiarity and identifying preliminary patterns. (2) **Open Coding:** Line-by-line examination of interview transcripts, documents, and observation notes, generating initial conceptual labels capturing meaningful data units. Codes captured concrete phenomena (e.g., "synthesizing information rapidly," "verifying AI outputs"), emerging themes (e.g., "critical evaluation," "collaborative problem-solving"), and theoretical concepts. (3) **Axial Coding:** Examining relationships among open codes, identifying connections among concepts, developing higher-order categories integrating related codes. This stage examined: how various changes in work practices interconnected; what conditions or contexts triggered particular adaptations; what consequences emerged from human-AI collaboration patterns. (4) **Theme Development:** Synthesizing related codes and categories into broader analytical themes representing meaningful patterns within data. Themes emerged addressing research questions regarding AI adoption, work transformation, capability emergence, organizational learning, and strategic implications. (5) **Cross-Case Comparison:** Systematic comparison across the five participating organizations examining: commonalities in GenAI adoption experiences; distinctive patterns reflecting organizational size, sector, or strategic orientation; variations in workforce capability development; differences in organizational learning approaches. (6) **Theoretical Interpretation:** Integrating empirical findings with theoretical frameworks—particularly knowledge-based view, dynamic capability theory, organizational learning theory, and human-AI collaboration theory—to develop comprehensive understanding of mechanisms through which GenAI transforms knowledge work.

Analysis occurred iteratively throughout data collection, with emerging themes informing subsequent interviews and document examination. Analysis employed both deductive application of theoretical frameworks and inductive identification of patterns emerging from data. QDA Miner software supported code organization, code linking, and thematic synthesis across the dataset.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

Generative AI Adoption Patterns

Organizations across Banten Province demonstrated varied approaches to GenAI adoption reflecting organizational size, sector, technological maturity, and strategic orientation. Initial GenAI adoption occurred primarily among larger organizations and technology-intensive companies, who deployed systems for high-impact business functions including content development, customer communication, research synthesis, and decision support. Adoption typically began in specific departments or functions—content and marketing teams, research and analysis divisions, strategic planning departments—before expanding organization-wide.

GenAI adoption followed several patterns. Early adopters implemented systems opportunistically, often initiated by technical enthusiasts within organizations, followed by more systematic organizational integration. Mid-stage adopters pursued strategic GenAI implementation aligned with broader digital transformation initiatives, establishing governance frameworks and usage guidelines alongside technology deployment. Organizations demonstrated varied governance maturity, from minimal usage policies in early-stage adoption to comprehensive frameworks addressing ethical considerations, accuracy verification, and accountability in laterstage implementation.

Organizational decision-making regarding GenAI adoption was primarily driven by perceived productivity enhancement opportunities and competitive positioning. Managers consistently articulated competitive pressure: "If we don't adopt these technologies, competitors who do will outpace us in efficiency and innovation capability. We cannot afford to be passive observers." A digital transformation leader noted: "We see GenAI not as an optional enhancement but as a necessity for remaining competitive in our market." Simultaneously, organizations expressed concerns regarding workforce disruption, accuracy and bias risks, and appropriate boundaries between human judgment and algorithmic recommendations.

Transformation of Knowledge Work Activities

GenAI adoption precipitated significant transformation in the nature and structure of professional activities within knowledge-intensive roles. Analysis identified four primary dimensions of knowledge work transformation:

First-Order Task Transformation: GenAI systems absorbed specific knowledge work tasks including information gathering, initial literature synthesis, document drafting, data analysis preparation, and routine information-seeking. A business analyst described: "I used to spend perhaps 25-30% of my time on information gathering and preliminary synthesis—searching databases, reading through documents, compiling relevant information. AI systems now handle much of this. I work with what the AI generates, refining, validating, questioning its outputs, and synthesizing findings into strategic recommendations."

This transformation was not task elimination but rather task evolution. Rather than performing all activities from initial information-seeking through synthesis to strategic recommendation, knowledge workers increasingly operated in a feedback loop with AI systems—directing AI tools, evaluating outputs, correcting errors, and integrating AI-generated information within broader analytical frameworks. A research professional noted: "The work has transformed from 'find and analyze' to 'synthesize and refine.' I prompt AI systems to analyze information, then I validate findings, identify gaps, question assumptions underlying analysis, and develop deeper insights the AI itself couldn't reach."

Cognitive Labor Reorganization: GenAI integration fundamentally reorganized cognitive labor within professional work. Lower-order cognitive functions including information retrieval, preliminary document review, routine data organization, and basic synthesis increasingly shifted to AI systems. Knowledge workers concentrated cognitive effort on higher-order functions: critical evaluation, contextual judgment, assumption interrogation, creative synthesis, strategic framing, and professional responsibility.

A content strategy manager described this reorganization: "Previously, creating comprehensive content required 60-70% of effort on research, information gathering, and basic drafting, leaving limited capacity for strategic thinking and creative innovation. Now, AI handles information synthesis quickly. I direct that capability toward specific business questions, then spend substantial time evaluating what AI generates—asking whether its assumptions are valid, whether it's missed important nuances, how findings apply within our specific context, what creative angles we should explore. The work became more intellectually engaging and strategically valuable."

Judgment and Expertise Redefinition: Professional expertise increasingly centered on critical evaluation and judgment regarding AI outputs rather than original analysis generation. Professionals became "judgment experts"—individuals capable of assessing AI system outputs, identifying potential errors, recognizing contextual limitations, and integrating AI insights within broader professional understanding. This represented significant redefinition of expertise. Traditional professional credibility derived from comprehensive knowledge, extensive research experience, and demonstrated analytical capability. New professional credibility centered increasingly on judgment quality—the capacity to discern when AI outputs were reliable versus potentially flawed, to understand contextual constraints on AI applicability, to recognize what important perspectives AI systems might overlook.

A senior strategist reflected: "For decades, my expertise derived from having studied extensively, having experience across many projects, understanding industry dynamics deeply. That's still valuable, but the nature of how I apply expertise has fundamentally changed. Now it's about meta-level judgment—understanding what questions to ask AI systems, evaluating whether responses are credible, recognizing gaps AI-generated analysis might have, understanding context in ways AI cannot."

Emergence of Boundary-Spanning Work: A new category of knowledge work emerged focused on specifying AI tasks, directing AI capability, evaluating and refining AI outputs, and integrating AI insights within human decision-making. This boundary-spanning work—connecting human judgment with machine capability—became central to many professional roles. Workers described spending significant time "prompting"—formulating questions to generate useful AI responses; "validating"—checking AI output accuracy and appropriateness; and "integrating"—incorporating AI insights within broader analytical frameworks.

Human-AI Collaboration Dynamics

Patterns of human-AI collaboration within knowledge work contexts revealed both distinctive complementarities and tensions between human and machine capabilities:

Complementary Strengths: Organizations identified distinctive complementarities between human and

Volume: 7 No. 3 (2026) https://www.ijosmas.org e-ISSN: 2775-0809

AI capabilities. GenAI systems excelled at rapid information synthesis, pattern recognition across large information volumes, generating creative variations on themes, and quickly producing initial analytical frameworks. Humans brought superior contextual understanding, capacity to recognize exceptions and anomalies, long-term perspective and experience-based wisdom, capacity to account for organizational and stakeholder relationships, and capacity to navigate ethical and strategic ambiguities. An organizational leader articulated: "AI is extraordinarily capable at synthesis and pattern recognition. It can identify connections in data I'd never find manually. But it lacks context—it doesn't understand our organizational politics, customer relationships, strategic pressures that shape what findings matter and how to navigate implementation."

Dependency and Overreliance Risks: Research identified significant risks associated with overreliance on AI systems. Many professionals reported a tendency to accept AI outputs with insufficient critical evaluation, particularly under time pressure. An HR manager described: "The efficiency gains are real, but I notice people sometimes accepting AI recommendations less critically than they should. When AI generates something plausible quickly, there's temptation to just use it rather than invest time validating. That concerns me."

Research participants frequently reported cognitive load reduction from AI assistance creating space for more strategic thinking. However, some individuals reported concerning trend of reduced confidence in their own judgment when AI systems generated alternative perspectives. A marketing professional noted: "Sometimes I have strong intuition about customer preferences based on years of experience, but then AI analysis suggests something different. I find myself deferring to AI analysis even when my intuition pushes back. That makes me uncomfortable—I'm not sure I'm building good judgment anymore."

Task-Specific Collaboration Patterns: Collaboration dynamics varied substantially by task type. For analysis of structured data, integration of information from diverse sources, and generation of initial drafts or alternatives, AI contribution was primary with human review and refinement secondary. For tasks requiring customer understanding, ethical judgment, strategic positioning, or management of sensitive relationships, human direction was primary with AI serving support function. Professionals described developing task-specific protocols: applying AI systems confidently for specific task categories while maintaining higher critical standards for others.

Organizational Learning Transformation

GenAI integration catalyzed significant transformation in organizational learning mechanisms and knowledge management practices:

From Episodic to Continuous Learning: Traditionally, organizational learning occurred somewhat episodically—through formal training programs, strategic initiatives, post-project reviews, and periodic capability development investments. GenAI integration supported shift toward continuous, embedded learning. As professionals interacted with GenAI systems daily, they developed emerging understanding regarding system capabilities, limitations, and appropriate applications. Learning occurred through experimentation—individuals tested AI systems on diverse work challenges, discovering through trial what worked well and what didn't. A knowledge manager described: "Learning used to happen in workshops and structured programs. Now much learning happens embedded in daily work—people are experimenting with AI, discovering what it's good at, where it fails, how to prompt it effectively. That's creating more adaptive organizational learning."

Externalization of Tacit Knowledge: GenAI systems required explicit articulation of reasoning, assumptions, and decision criteria to function effectively. When professionals translated tacit knowledge into prompts that would generate useful AI responses, they made implicit understanding explicit. This externalization had significant organizational learning implications. A senior analyst noted: "To get useful AI output, I had to articulate what I was actually looking for—what assumptions should guide analysis, what evidence would count as reliable, what context matters. Articulating that made me realize how much of my judgment was tacit. But it also meant that articulation captured something valuable we could now teach others or refine systematically."

Knowledge Documentation and Accessibility: AI systems created permanent records of knowledge work in ways that enhanced organizational knowledge accessibility. As professionals worked with GenAI systems, interactions generated documentary traces—prompts, AI outputs, refinements, and conclusions. These traces could be reviewed, organized, and made accessible to other organizational members. Organizations began establishing protocols to capture and organize interaction records as organizational knowledge assets. A learning specialist described: "We're now systematically capturing interactions with AI systems, organizing them by domain, making them available to other employees facing similar challenges. It's like we're building organizational memory at a new scale."

Accelerated Knowledge Integration: GenAI systems dramatically compressed timeframes for knowledge integration. Professionals could rapidly synthesize current research, organizational experience, and external best practices on emerging topics, integrating diverse knowledge sources into coherent understanding. This acceleration enabled organizations to develop organizational perspectives on emerging issues far more quickly than traditional approaches allowed. An organizational learning manager noted: "When new regulatory requirements emerged, we needed to understand implications quickly. Using GenAI to synthesize regulatory guidance, similar industry experience, our organizational context—we developed informed organizational perspective in days that traditionally would have required weeks."

Challenges to Organizational Learning: Simultaneously, organizations identified challenges to organizational learning emerging from GenAI integration. Some individuals reported reduced motivation to develop deep personal expertise when GenAI could quickly provide competent responses to most questions. Organizations expressed concern that reliance on AI systems might erode development of tacit knowledge and experiential wisdom traditionally valued in professional development. An organizational leader articulated: "We worry that if everything can be quickly solved by AI, will people invest in developing deep expertise? We need some individuals with profound understanding of critical domains, not just ability to prompt AI effectively."

Emerging Future-Ready Workforce Capabilities

Analysis identified set of emerging capabilities becoming increasingly critical for professional effectiveness in knowledge work contexts where GenAI is embedded:

Critical Evaluation and Judgment: Capacity to assess AI outputs, recognize potential errors, identify contextual limitations, and determine appropriate reliance on AI recommendations emerged as paramount (Spitzer et al., 2024). This included statistical literacy—understanding how AI systems operate and their probabilistic nature; domain expertise sufficient to recognize when AI analysis violated professional norms or overlooked important factors; and judgment capacity developed through experience and reflection. Organizations emphasized that future knowledge workers require not reduced cognitive demand but rather reconceived cognitive demand emphasizing judgment over information processing.

Adaptive Expertise and Learning Agility: Capacity to adapt quickly to evolving AI capabilities, learn new tools and approaches readily, and maintain professional effectiveness amid constant technological change became essential (Morandini et al., 2023). This extended beyond technical skill to psychological capability—comfort with ambiguity, resilience when facing obsolescence of familiar practices, and intrinsic motivation to develop emerging capabilities. A human development specialist noted: "We need people who can learn continuously, who aren't threatened by technological change, who can see AI systems as tools enabling their own capability rather than threats to their expertise."

Human-Centric Professional Capabilities: Simultaneously, organizations increasingly valued distinctly human capabilities less susceptible to automation (Poláková et al., 2023): complex relationship management; stakeholder negotiation; recognition of nuance and exception; capacity for ethical judgment in ambiguous situations; creativity in envisioning novel possibilities; strategic thinking connecting organizational objectives with implementation realities. These capabilities relied on human experience, emotional intelligence, and contextual understanding that machines could not replicate. Organizations sought employees combining strong technical or domain knowledge with sophisticated people skills and strategic perspective.

Collaboration and Coordination: Capacity to work effectively with both humans and AI systems, coordinate across distributed teams, and synthesize contributions from diverse sources became increasingly important. This included ability to specify what AI should do clearly; understand how human and machine contributions could be productively combined; communicate findings and insights effectively to varied audiences; and manage the workflow integration of AI-generated insights with human decision-making.

Ethical Reasoning and Values Clarity: As GenAI systems generated recommendations regarding customer treatment, operational decisions, and strategic directions, professionals required enhanced capacity for ethical reasoning (Wach et al., 2023). Organizations emphasized need for employees who could recognize ethical implications of AI recommendations, question assumptions underlying algorithmic decision-making, and advocate for values alignment even when AI systems suggested alternative approaches. A compliance officer stated: "We need people who will push back on AI recommendations when they violate ethical standards or our organizational values, not just implement what the system suggests."

Table 1: Transformation of Knowledge Work Before and After Generative AI Adoption

Dimension	Before Generative AI	After Generative AI Integration	Primary Shift
Information Sourcing	Manual research, database searches, document review (40-50% of time)	AI-assisted rapid synthesis, human validation and refinement	From labor-intensive information gathering to directed AI engagement and critical evaluation
Analysis Processes	Individual analyst conducts comprehensive analysis	AI generates initial analysis, human professional evaluates, refines, validates findings	From comprehensive independent analysis to critical evaluation of AI-generated analysis
Task Division of Labor	Professional performs all tasks—gathering, analysis, synthesis, recommendation	AI handles information synthesis; humans focus on judgment, contextual	Shift from comprehensive task performance to judgment and strategic contribution

Dimension	Before Generative AI	After Generative AI Integration	Primary Shift
		application, strategic framing	
Knowledge Creation	Tacit, experiential knowledge embedded in individual professional work	Explicit knowledge capture through AI interaction, systematic articulation of reasoning	From implicit to increasingly explicit, documented, and organizational knowledge
Decision-Making Timeline	Extended timelines for analysis and insight development	Compressed timelines through AI acceleration of analysis phases	From slower, deliberate analysis to rapid synthesis with enhanced critical evaluation
Professional Expertise	Deep domain knowledge, extensive research experience, proven analytical track record	Meta-level judgment, critical evaluation capability, contextual understanding, ethical reasoning	From comprehensive expertise to judgment expertise
Learning Mechanism	Formal training programs, periodic capability development, experiential learning	Continuous embedded learning through AI experimentation, daily application, rapid feedback	From episodic to continuous learning embedded in daily work
Organizational Knowledge	Individual knowledge, limited documentation, tacit organizational expertise	Documented AI-human interactions, captured reasoning, systematic knowledge organization	From tacit individual knowledge to explicit organizational knowledge assets
Work Meaning and Engagement	Routine information work, limited cognitive demand variance	Enhanced intellectual engagement, strategic focus, judgment-centered work	From information processing labor to judgment and strategic contribution

Table 2: Emerging Competencies of Future Knowledge Workers

Competency Category	Specific Capabilities	Development Approach	Organizational Priority
Critical Evaluation	- Assess AI output accuracy and appropriateness - Recognize contextual limitations of AI analysis - Identify potential errors or biases - Determine when AI recommendations should be questioned - Statistical literacy regarding AI probabilistic nature	- Domain expertise development - Case study analysis of AI successes/failures - Mentoring by experienced professionals - Structured evaluation protocols - Regular critical reflection on AI recommendations	Critical—foundational for professional responsibility
Adaptive Learning	- Learn new AI tools and approaches rapidly - Maintain professional effectiveness amid technological change - Comfort with ambiguity and continuous learning - Resilience when facing practice obsolescence - Intrinsic motivation for capability development	- Embedded learning through experimentation - Cross-functional capability sharing - Role-based training programs - Psychological safety for risk-taking and failure - Growth mindset cultivation	High—essential for organizational adaptability
Human-Centric Excellence	- Complex relationship and stakeholder management - Ethical judgment in ambiguous situations - Contextual understanding and nuance recognition -	- Experience-based learning - Cross-functional projects - Leadership development programs - Ethics-focused training - Mentoring relationships	Very High—differentiating competitive capability

Competency Category	Specific Capabilities	Development Approach	Organizational Priority
	Creative possibility envisioning - Strategic thinking and systems perspective		
Collaboration Integration	- Specify AI tasks clearly and effectively - Integrate AI-generated insights in decision-making - Coordinate human-AI team contributions - Communicate complex findings effectively - Manage workflow and information flows	- Team-based AI projects - Collaborative problem-solving workshops - Communication skills development - Cross-functional teamwork - Integration protocol development	High—foundational for operationalizing human-AI collaboration
Domain Expertise	- Deep knowledge of professional field - Understanding of industry trends and context - Ability to recognize exceptions and anomalies - Professional judgment developed through experience	- Continued professional development - Industry participation and networking - Research and knowledge advancement - Mentoring and peer learning - Advanced certifications	Critical—prerequisite for all other competencies
Ethical Reasoning	- Recognize ethical implications of AI recommendations - Question algorithmic assumptions underlying decisions - Advocate for values alignment - Navigate complex ethical ambiguities - Accountability for professionally responsible application	- Ethics education and training - Case-based ethical reasoning - Organizational values articulation - Professional responsibility frameworks - Reflective practice communities	Very High—essential for organizational integrity

Dicussion

Theoretical Implications

The research findings contribute to multiple theoretical domains addressing organizational innovation, knowledge management, and human-AI interaction:

Knowledge-Based View of the Organization

The research extends Knowledge-Based View (KBV) theory by examining how GenAI transforms the mechanisms through which organizations create, accumulate, and leverage distinctive knowledge. Traditional KBV emphasizes that competitive advantage derives from firms' capacity to develop unique, difficult-to-imitate knowledge assets. GenAI integration complicates this framework in important ways.

First, GenAI systems democratize access to analytical capability and information synthesis previously constituting sources of organizational differentiation. Organizations can no longer depend primarily on superior access to information or analytical skill to maintain competitive advantage, as GenAI provides equivalent capability to all organizations adopting the technology. This suggests competitive differentiation increasingly derives from how organizations leverage GenAI capability—what distinctive questions they ask, how creatively they apply AI capability to novel problems, how effectively they integrate AI insights within organizational strategy and execution.

Second, GenAI enables transition from implicit to explicit organizational knowledge. Traditional knowledge management has struggled with tacit knowledge that is difficult to articulate, transfer, and preserve. GenAI interaction generates explicit records of reasoning, assumptions, and decision criteria that can be systematized and transmitted across the organization. This potentially enables organizations to preserve and leverage knowledge that would traditionally have been held implicitly by experienced professionals, enhancing organizational knowledge accessibility and reducing knowledge loss when individuals depart.

Third, GenAI transformation of knowledge work has implications for organizational knowledge complexity and sophistication. As AI systems absorb routine analytical work, organizational knowledge increasingly concentrates in higher-order domains—strategic judgment, contextual integration, ethical reasoning, creative synthesis. Organizations must develop exceptional capability in these sophisticated knowledge domains to maintain competitive advantage, as these capacities are less easily replicated by competitor organizations or substituted by technology.

Dynamic Capability Theory

Dynamic Capability Theory addresses how organizations develop capacity to sense opportunities, seize emerging possibilities, and reconfigure organizational resources to maintain competitive advantage in rapidly changing environments. GenAI integration reveals important implications for how organizations build and deploy dynamic capabilities.

First, the research demonstrates that GenAI adoption requires distinctive organizational dynamic capabilities. Beyond acquiring AI technology, organizations must develop capacity to identify appropriate GenAI applications, integrate systems within existing organizational contexts, manage workforce transitions, and adapt business processes to leverage AI capability. These distinctive capabilities represent organizational learning achievements that accumulate as organizations gain GenAI implementation experience.

Second, GenAI integration requires what might be termed "meta-capability"—organizational capacity to develop and refresh capabilities continuously as AI technology evolves. GenAI capabilities advance rapidly, creating continuous pressure for organizational learning and adaptation. Organizations that develop robust capacity for continuous capability development and organizational learning will establish competitive advantage through superior facility in leveraging emerging AI capability.

Third, the research suggests that GenAI changes the nature of dynamic capabilities organizations must develop. Traditional dynamic capabilities focused on technological innovation and market adaptation. GenAI integration requires new dynamic capabilities centered on human-AI collaboration optimization, ethical AI governance, workforce capability evolution, and organizational learning acceleration. Organizations that develop these GenAI-specific dynamic capabilities will establish differentiated competitive positioning in AI-augmented work environments.

Organizational Learning Theory

The research contributes significantly to Organizational Learning Theory by demonstrating how GenAI fundamentally alters organizational knowledge acquisition, interpretation, and application processes. GenAI systems function not merely as tools but as active participants in organizational learning cycles, accelerating knowledge processing, enabling pattern recognition across complex datasets, and facilitating knowledge dissemination at organizational scale.

The findings reveal that GenAI transforms organizational learning from episodic to continuous processes. Traditional organizational learning occurred through periodic initiatives, training programs, or structured knowledge management activities. GenAI integration enables organizations to embed learning continuously within daily work processes, as employees interact with AI systems that provide immediate feedback, pattern identification, and knowledge synthesis. This continuous learning dynamic represents fundamental transformation in how organizations develop organizational memory and capability.

Additionally, GenAI integration demonstrates how organizations can achieve what might be termed "augmented organizational learning"—learning processes where human cognitive capabilities combine with AI analytical power to achieve learning outcomes impossible through either humans or AI systems independently. This collaborative learning capability enhances organizational adaptability and competitive responsiveness in rapidly changing markets.

Practical Implications

Implications for Organizational Leaders

For organizational leaders and executives, the research demonstrates that GenAI adoption requires comprehensive strategic leadership commitment extending beyond technology acquisition (Kolbjørnsrud, 2023). Leaders must articulate compelling visions of human-AI collaboration that inspire workforce engagement rather than triggering anxiety about technological displacement. Effective GenAI leadership integrates technology strategy with workforce strategy, organizational culture development, and ethical governance frameworks.

The findings suggest that leaders must actively manage organizational identity and purpose during GenAI integration. Organizations that clearly articulate how GenAI enhances human capability rather than replaces human workers, and that demonstrate authentic commitment to workforce development and reskilling, establish stronger employee engagement and organizational resilience during transformation (Trenerry et al., 2021). Leaders who position GenAI as capability amplification tool rather than workforce reduction mechanism build organizational cultures where employees embrace rather than resist AI integration.

Furthermore, leaders must establish robust ethical governance frameworks that address bias in AI systems, ensure transparency in AI decision-making, protect employee privacy, and maintain human accountability for consequential decisions (Brunetti et al., 2020). Organizations with strong ethical GenAI governance build stakeholder trust and establish sustainable competitive advantage through responsible AI practices.

Implications for Human Resource Managers

Human resource managers face transformation in talent management practices, workforce planning, capability development, and organizational culture management (Budhwar et al., 2023). The research demonstrates that organizations must transition from traditional workforce planning based on stable job roles toward dynamic workforce capability planning that anticipates continuously evolving skill requirements as GenAI capabilities advance.

HR managers must develop comprehensive reskilling and upskilling programs that prepare knowledge workers for GenAI-augmented work environments. Rather than attempting to predict specific future skills, effective programs develop foundational capabilities—learning agility, ethical reasoning, collaborative capacity, creativity, critical thinking—that enable workers to adapt continuously as AI technology evolves and work requirements shift.

HR managers must also redesign performance management systems to evaluate effectiveness in human-AI collaboration rather than individual task execution (Cao et al., 2021). Traditional performance metrics focused on individual productivity become less relevant when AI systems handle routine analytical work. Organizations require new performance frameworks that assess strategic judgment quality, ethical reasoning, creative contribution, and collaborative effectiveness with AI systems.

Implications for Knowledge Workers

Knowledge workers must embrace deliberate capability evolution as GenAI technology advances. The research demonstrates that workers who resist automation of routine tasks and fail to develop higher-order capabilities will face career obsolescence. Conversely, workers who develop distinctive capability in strategic judgment, ethical reasoning, creative synthesis, and complex problem-solving establish secure, rewarding career trajectories in GenAI-augmented organizations.

Knowledge workers must also develop what might be termed "AI literacy"—understanding of GenAI capabilities and limitations, ability to formulate effective AI queries, capacity to evaluate AI-generated outputs critically, and ethical reasoning about appropriate AI application (Bankins et al., 2023). This AI literacy becomes as fundamental to professional capability as traditional domain expertise.

Implications for Educational Institutions

Educational institutions must redesign curriculum and pedagogical approaches to prepare future knowledge workers for GenAI-augmented work environments (George & Wooden, 2023). Traditional curricula emphasizing factual knowledge recall and routine analytical skill will become increasingly irrelevant as GenAI systems perform these functions efficiently. Educational institutions must instead emphasize higher-order thinking capabilities—critical analysis, creative synthesis, ethical reasoning, complex problem-solving—that remain distinctively human in GenAI-augmented organizations.

Educational institutions must also integrate AI literacy and human-AI collaboration skills throughout curriculum design, ensuring that graduates understand GenAI capabilities and limitations, can collaborate effectively with AI systems, and maintain ethical reasoning about AI application. This educational transformation requires significant curriculum revision and faculty development.

Implications for Policymakers

Policymakers in Indonesia and emerging economy contexts must develop policy frameworks that enable beneficial GenAI adoption while protecting workforce interests and ensuring equitable opportunity distribution. Policy priorities include: establishing ethical AI governance standards; ensuring transparency and accountability in organizational AI implementation; requiring organizational investment in workforce reskilling as condition for AI technology adoption; and supporting educational transformation to prepare future workforces for GenAI-augmented work environments (Alves et al., 2023).

Indonesian policymakers should consider establishing GenAI adoption incentives for organizations that demonstrate commitment to ethical AI practices, workforce capability development, and equitable benefit distribution. Such policies could position Indonesia as leader in responsible AI adoption within Southeast Asian region, attracting investment in organizations demonstrating authentic commitment to beneficial AI integration.

IV. CONCLUSION

This research develops integrated understanding of how Generative AI transforms knowledge work within organizations, contributing significantly to knowledge-based view, dynamic capability theory, organizational learning theory, and human-AI collaboration literature. The study demonstrates that GenAI adoption fundamentally alters the nature of knowledge work, organizational learning processes, and future-ready workforce capabilities required for organizational effectiveness in AI-augmented work environments.

The research reveals that successful GenAI integration requires organizations to move beyond technology-centric adoption approaches toward comprehensive strategic transformation addressing workforce capability

Volume: 7 No. 3 (2026) https://www.ijosmas.org e-ISSN: 2775-0809

development, organizational learning processes, ethical AI governance, and human-AI collaboration optimization. Organizations that achieve this comprehensive transformation establish competitive advantage through superior capability in leveraging GenAI technology to enhance human capability rather than merely automating routine tasks.

The findings have profound implications for knowledge workers, organizational leaders, human resource professionals, educational institutions, and policymakers. Knowledge workers must embrace continuous capability evolution, developing distinctive capability in higher-order thinking and human-AI collaboration. Organizational leaders must articulate compelling visions of human-AI collaboration and establish robust ethical governance frameworks. HR professionals must redesign talent management systems for continuous capability development. Educational institutions must transform curriculum emphasis from factual knowledge toward higher-order thinking capabilities. Policymakers must establish governance frameworks enabling beneficial GenAI adoption while protecting workforce interests.

Research limitations include focus on organizations in Banten Province and relatively small participant sample, which may limit generalizability to broader Indonesian or Southeast Asian contexts. Future research should extend inquiry to larger geographic regions, compare GenAI adoption patterns across different organizational sectors and sizes, and conduct longitudinal studies tracking knowledge work transformation over extended periods as GenAI technology matures and organizational practices evolve.

This research contributes to emerging literature on future of work in AI-augmented environments, providing insights particularly relevant for organizations in emerging economy contexts navigating rapid technological change while managing workforce transitions and maintaining organizational effectiveness. The study positions GenAI adoption not as purely technological challenge but as comprehensive organizational transformation requiring strategic commitment to human capability enhancement and ethical AI governance.

REFERENCES

Asbari, M. (2020). Is Transformational Leadership Suitable for Future Organizational Needs? International Journal of Sociology, Policy and Law (Ijospl), 01(01), 51–55. https://ijospl.org/index.php/ijospl/article/view/17

Asbari, M. (2025). From C0 to C6: Expanding Bloom's Taxonomy to Diagnose Passive Learning in AI-Mediated Classrooms. Indonesian Journal of Management and Economic Research (IJOMER), 2(02), 38–43. https://doi.org/10.70508/v0ee3n46

Bankins, S., Ocampo, A. C., Marrone, M., Restubog, S. L. D., & Woo, S. E. (2023). A multilevel review of artificial intelligence in organizations: Implications for organizational behavior research and practice. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 44(8), 1455-1470. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2735

Brown, O., Davison, R. M., Decker, S., Ellis, D. A., Faulconbridge, J., Gore, J., ... & Zilber, T. B. (2024). Theory-driven perspectives on generative artificial intelligence in business and management. *British Journal of Management*, 35(2), 415-431. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12788

Budhwar, P., Chowdhury, S., Wood, G., Aguinis, H., Bamber, G. J., Beltran, J. R., ... & Varma, A. (2023). Human resource management in the age of generative artificial intelligence: Perspectives and research directions on ChatGPT. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 33(4), 606-659. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12524

Cao, G., Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). Understanding managers' attitudes and behavioral intentions towards using artificial intelligence for organizational decision-making. *Technovation*, 106, 102312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2021.102312

Chatterjee, S., Chaudhuri, R., Vrontis, D., & Giovando, G. (2023). Digital workplace and organization performance: Moderating role of digital leadership capability. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 8(2), 100334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2023.100334

Dąbrowska, J., Almpanopoulou, A., Brem, A., Chesbrough, H., Cucino, V., Di Minin, A., ... & Nylund, P. A. (2022). Digital transformation, for better or worse: A critical multi-level research agenda. *R&D Management*, 52(2), 207-227. https://doi.org/10.1111/radm.12531

Dave, D. M., & Mandvikar, S. (2023). Augmented intelligence: Human-AI collaboration in the era of digital transformation. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Studies*, 8(6), 58-67. https://doi.org/10.33564/ijeast.2023.v08i06.003

Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., ... & Wright, R. (2023). Opinion paper: "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, 102642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642

Feuerriegel, S., Hartmann, J., Janiesch, C., & Zschech, P. (2023). Generative AI. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 65(5), 577-580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-023-00834-7

Grabowska, S., Saniuk, S., & Gajdzik, B. (2022). Industry 5.0: Improving humanization and sustainability of

Industry 4.0. *Scientometrics*, 131(5), 3201-3223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-022-04370-1

Gupta, M., Akiri, C., Aryal, K., Parker, E., & Praharaj, L. (2023). From ChatGPT to ThreatGPT: Impact of generative AI in cybersecurity and privacy. *IEEE Access*, 11, 80218-80245. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2023.3300381

Hanelt, A., Bohnsack, R., Marz, D., & Marante, C. (2020). A systematic review of the literature on digital transformation: Insights and implications for strategy and organizational change. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(5), 1159-1197. https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12639

Jarrahi, M. H., Newlands, G., Lee, M. K., Wolf, C. T., Kinder, E., & Sutherland, W. (2021). Algorithmic management in a work context. *New Technology, Work and Employment*, 36(3), 231-250. https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517211020332

Jöhnk, J., Weißert, M., & Wyrtki, K. (2020). Ready or not, AI comes—An interview study of organizational AI readiness factors. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 63(1), 5-20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-020-00676-7

Kaczorowska-Spychalska, D., Kotula, N., Mazurek, G., & Sułkowski, Ł. (2024). Generative AI as source of change of knowledge management paradigm. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 20(1), 111-128. https://doi.org/10.14254/1795-6889.2024.20-1.7

Khan Raiaan, M. A., Mukta, M. S. H., Fatema, K., Fahad, N. M., Sakib, S., Jannat Mim, M. M., ... & Ali, M. E. (2024). A review on large language models: Architectures, applications, taxonomies, open issues and challenges. *IEEE Access*, 12, 26839-26874. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2024.3365742

Kolbjørnsrud, V. (2023). Designing the intelligent organization: Six principles for human-AI collaboration. *Organizational Dynamics*, 52(4), 100999. https://doi.org/10.1177/00081256231211020

Korteling, J. E., van de Boer-Visschedijk, G. C., Blankendaal, R., Boonekamp, R., & Eikelboom, A. R. (2021). Human- versus artificial intelligence. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 622364. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2021.622364

Korzyński, P., Mazurek, G., Altmann, A., Ejdys, J., Kazlauskaitė, R., Paliszkiewicz, J., Wach, K., & Ziemba, E. (2023). Generative artificial intelligence as a new context for management theories: Analysis of ChatGPT. *Central European Management Journal*, 31(2), 280-294. https://doi.org/10.1108/cemj-02-2023-0091

Lee, H., Sarkar, A., Tankelevitch, L., Drosos, I., Rintel, S., Banks, R., & Wilson, N. (2025). The impact of generative AI on critical thinking: Self-reported reductions in cognitive effort and confidence effects from a survey of knowledge workers. *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1145/3706598.3713778

Longo, F., Padovano, A., & Umbrello, S. (2020). Value-oriented and ethical technology engineering in Industry 5.0: A human-centric perspective for the design of the factory of the future. *Applied Sciences*, 10(12), 4182. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10124182

Mariani, M. M., Machado, I., Magrelli, V., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2022). Artificial intelligence in innovation research: A systematic review, conceptual framework, and future research directions. *Technovation*, 117, 102623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2022.102623

Markauskaitė, L., Marrone, R., Poquet, O., Knight, S., Martínez-Maldonado, R., Howard, S., ... & Siemens, G. (2022). Rethinking the entwinement between artificial intelligence and human learning: What capabilities do learners need for a world with AI? *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100056. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100056

Mirbabaie, M., Brünker, F., Frick, N., & Stieglitz, S. (2021). The rise of artificial intelligence – understanding the AI identity threat at the workplace. *Electronic Markets*, 32(1), 129-147. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-021-00496-x

Morandini, S., Fraboni, F., De Angelis, M., Puzzo, G., Giusino, D., & Pietrantoni, L. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on workers' skills: Upskilling and reskilling in organisations. *Education Sciences*, 13(1), 15. https://doi.org/10.28945/5078

Nofiyanti, N., Winanti, W., Fajrin, A., Aks, S. M. Y., Farhan, A., Kamar, K., Azz, I. K. H., & Asbari, M. (2025). The Innovation Engine: How Ai Is Reshaping Business Models And Organizational Efficiency. JUBISMA, 7(2), 1–8.

Peres, R. S., Jia, X., Lee, J., Sun, K., Colombo, A. W., & Barata, J. (2020). Industrial artificial intelligence in Industry 4.0 - Systematic review, challenges and outlook. *IEEE Access*, 8, 220121-220139. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.3042874

Poláková, M., Suleimanová, J. H., Madzík, P., Copuš, L., Molnárová, I., & Polednová, J. (2023). Soft skills and their importance in the labour market under the conditions of Industry 5.0. *Heliyon*, 9(7), e18670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e18670

Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of Management Review*, 46(1), 192-210. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2018.0072

Razanah, A., Putri, N. I., & Asbari, M. (2022). Application of Integrated Quality Management Transformational Studies in Higher Education. JIKEM: Jurnal Ilmu Komputer, Ekonomi Dan Manajemen, 2(2), 2785–2789.

Richey, R. G., Chowdhury, S., Davis-Sramek, B., Giannakis, M., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2023). Artificial intelligence in logistics and supply chain management: A primer and roadmap for research. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 44(4), 512-527. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12364

Silitonga, N., Novitasari, D., Sutardi, D., Sopa, A., Asbari, M., Yulia, Y., Supono, J., & Fauji, A. (2020). The Relationship of Transformational Leadership, Organizational Justice and Organizational Commitment: a Mediation Effect of Job Satisfaction. Journal of Critical Reviews, 7(19), 89–108. http://www.jcreview.com/?mno=101999

Sima, V., Gheorghe, I. G., Subić, J., & Nancu, D. (2020). Influences of the Industry 4.0 revolution on the human capital development and consumer behavior: A systematic review. *Sustainability*, 12(10), 4035. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12104035

Spitzer, P., Holstein, J., Hemmer, P., Vossing, M., Kuhl, N., Martin, D., & Satzger, G. (2024). Human delegation behavior in human-AI collaboration: The effect of contextual information. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 31(2), 1-30. https://doi.org/10.1145/3710999

Sun, J., Yang, J., Zhou, G., Jin, Y., & Gong, J. (2024). Understanding human-AI collaboration in music therapy through co-design with therapists. *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642764

Supraptiningsih, J. D., Garibaldi, G., Gunawan, Y., Alfiani, Y., Novitasari, D., & Asbari, M. (2026). From Vision to Execution: A Qualitative Study of Digital Leadership in Marketing Transformation. Journal of Leadership in Organisation and Development, 1(1), 1–8.

Torre, D., Colapinto, C., Durosini, I., & Triberti, S. (2021). Team formation for human-artificial intelligence collaboration in the workplace: A goal programming model to foster organizational change. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 69(3), 1033-1045. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2021.3077195

Trenerry, B., Chng, S., Wang, Y., Suhaila, Z. S., Lim, S. S., Lu, H., & Oh, P. H. (2021). Preparing workplaces for digital transformation: An integrative review and framework of multi-level factors. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 620766. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.620766

Wach, K., Duong, C. D., Ejdys, J., Kazlauskaitė, R., Korzyński, P., Mazurek, G., Paliszkiewicz, J., & Ziemba, E. (2023). The dark side of generative artificial intelligence: A critical analysis of controversies and risks of ChatGPT. *Entrepreneurship and Business Economics Review*, 11(1), 21-41. https://doi.org/10.15678/eber.2023.110201

Wang, L., Ma, C., Feng, X., Zhang, Z., Yang, H., Zhang, J., ... & Wen, J. R. (2024). A survey on large language model based autonomous agents. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 18(3), 186358. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11704-024-40231-1

Yenduri, G., Ramalingam, M., Chemmalar Selvi, G., Supriya, Y., Srivastava, G., Maddikunta, P. K. R., ... & Gadekallu, T. R. (2024). GPT (generative pre-trained transformer)—A comprehensive review on enabling technologies, potential applications, emerging challenges, and future directions. *IEEE Access*, 12, 26839-26873. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2024.3389497

Yun, B., Feng, D., Chen, A. S., Nikzad, A., & Salehi, N. (2025). Generative AI in knowledge work: Design implications for data navigation and decision-making. *Proceedings of the 2025 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1145/3706598.3713337

Zirar, A., Ali, S. I., & Islam, N. (2023). Worker and workplace artificial intelligence (AI) coexistence: Emerging themes and research agenda. *Technovation*, 123, 102747. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2023.102747